Peer Rating of Team Members †

Please write the names of all of your team members, INC member fulfilled his/her responsibilities in completing the BLANK? Place this form in a sealed envelope, with your teainstructor. The possible ratings are as follows: Excellent (100%): Consistently carried more than his/her fail Very good (90%): Consistently did what he/she was supposed Satisfactory (80%): Usually did what he/she was supposed to Ordinary (70%): Often did what he/she was supposed to do, Marginal (75%): Sometimes failed to show up or complete as Deficient (65%): Often failed to show up or complete assignm Unsatisfactory (60%): Consistently failed to show up or com Superficial (50%): Practically no participation. No show (0%): No participation at all. These ratings should reflect each individual's lev responsibility, not his or her academic ability. Name of team member Rating	ir share of the workload. d to do, very well prepared and cooperative. to do, acceptably prepared and cooperative. , minimally prepared and cooperative. ssignments, rarely prepared. ments, rarely prepared. mplete assignments, unprepared.
Very good (90%): Consistently did what he/she was supposed satisfactory (80%): Usually did what he/she was supposed to Ordinary (70%): Often did what he/she was supposed to do, Marginal (75%): Sometimes failed to show up or complete assignm Unsatisfactory (60%): Consistently failed to show up or com Superficial (50%): Practically no participation. No show (0%): No participation at all. These ratings should reflect each individual's lever responsibility, not his or her academic ability.	d to do, very well prepared and cooperative. to do, acceptably prepared and cooperative. , minimally prepared andcooperative. ssignments, rarely prepared. ments, rarely prepared. mplete assignments, unprepared.
responsibility, not his or her academic ability.	
Name of team member Name	Commentary
	(DO NOT LÉAVE BLANK!)
	I

[†]Adapted from R. M. Felder & R. Brent, *Effective Teaching*, North Carolina State University, 2000. Percentage Scores added by M. Medina

30 / Journal of Student Centered Learning